

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/715,629	11/18/2000	Kunihiro Watanabe	3120/FLK	7785	
26304	7590 04/18/2005		EXAMINER		
	MUCHIN ZAVIS ROS	MOE, AU	MOE, AUNG SOE		
575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2612		
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before	the Filing	of an	Appeal Brief				

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/715,629	WATANABE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Aung S. Moe	2612

Defens the Fillian of an Annual Drief				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner Art U		Art Unit		
	Aung S. Moe	2612		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.		
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dangle.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a even if timely filed	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th		
AMENDMENTS	h. A			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	·	TE Delow),		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bei	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Co</li></ol>	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	<del>-</del>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•	•	_	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-5 and 11. Claim(s) chiested to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>6-10</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)		
		Aung S. Moe Primary Examiner	-	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment to claim 10 would require further consideration and/or search.